

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**RUBY A. VENDITTE and  
JOHN W. WAGSTAFFE,**

**Defendants.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**8:14CR95**

**ORDER**

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant John W. Wagstaffe (Wagstaffe) (Filing No. 48). Wagstaffe seeks a continuance of the trial scheduled for April 6, 2015. Wagstaffe's counsel represents that Wagstaffe will submit an affidavit in accordance with paragraph 9 of the progression order whereby Wagstaffe consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Wagstaffe's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted. Trial will be continued as to both defendants.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Wagstaffe's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 42) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter as to both defendants is re-scheduled for **June 8, 2015**, before Senior Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **March 10, 2015 and June 8, 2015**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 10th day of March, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge